

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BENJAMIN PEREZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

MONSTER INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 15-cv-03885-EMC

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS'  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO  
SHORTEN TIME**

Docket No. 84

Defendants have asked the Court to hear their motion to stay proceedings on shortened time. Having considered the parties' briefs and accompanying submissions, the Court hereby **DENIES** Defendants' request for relief. Defendants have failed to establish "substantial harm or prejudice that would occur if the Court did not change the time." Civ. L.R. 6-3(a)(3). In addition, although there may be a settlement in principle in the Illinois state proceedings, the Court cannot assess whether there should be a stay in the instant case until the settlement terms are finalized and, accordingly, shortened time is premature as well. Furthermore, Plaintiffs have raised at least a prima facie case that even a settlement in the Illinois state proceedings will not necessarily dispose of the instant action (*i.e.*, there is not complete overlap between the instant action and the Illinois case).

///

///

///

///

///

///

1 Although, under the Civil Local Rules, Defendants' motion to stay would ordinarily be  
2 heard on August 11, 2016, the undersigned is not available on that day. Accordingly, the motion  
3 to stay is hereby scheduled for **August 18, 2016, at 1:30 p.m.** The briefing schedule remains  
4 unchanged.

5 This order disposes of Docket No. 84.

6  
7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8  
9 Dated: July 8, 2016

10   
11 EDWARD M. CHEN  
12 United States District Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28